

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,931	,931 09/15/2003		Hisham Menkara	090503	8402	
	7590	08/10/2005		EXAMINER		
Christopher		vell	HODGES, MATTHEW P			
Western Pate 6020 Tonkov			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Georgetown,	TX 786	528	2879			
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-4! NI-	Applicant(a)					
			ation No.	Applicant(s)	and)				
	Office Action Summary	10/661		MENKARA ET AL.	6,0				
	Office Action Summary	Exami		Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this communic		Hodges	th the correspondence address -					
Period fo		апон арреат с он	tile cover sileet w	tir trie correspondence dadress -	_				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ansions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) by period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. days, a reply within the actory period will apply an I, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a restautory minimum of third d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communical MANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 23 May 2005	· i.						
•	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims		·	•					
	Claim(s) 1-5,8-12 and 14-34 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3,8-10,14-17 and 20-34 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 5,11,12,18 and 19 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers			•					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September</u> Applicant may not request that any objecti Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	2003 is/are: a)∑ on to the drawing( ne correction is rec	s) be held in abeyar Juired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docu al Bureau (PCT F	peen received. Deen received in A Iments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage					
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449)		Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Art Unit: 2879

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on 5/23/2005, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Cancellation of claims 6, 7, and 13 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 13, though the claim is cancelled the text of the claim is still present. It is requested that the text be deleted for claim 13 so as to avoid confusion.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 8-10, 14-17, and 20-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bokor et al. (US 2004/0056256 A1)

Regarding claims 1-3, 8-10, 14-17, and 20-34, Bokor discloses the use of light emitting device including a white emitting mixture of ZnS:Cu and ZnS:Cu, Mn. (Page 3 Paragraph 030)

Art Unit: 2879

and (Table 4). The copper and manganese are doped in concentrations of 5 mol percent. (Page 3 Paragraph 020).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is allowed.

Claims 5, 11, 12, 18, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 4, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 4, and specifically comprising the limitation of a phosphor composition of  $ZnS_xSe_y$ : Cu where  $0 \le x \le 0.5$  and  $0 \le y \le 0.5$ .

Regarding claim 5, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 5, and specifically comprising the limitation of a phosphor composition of  $ZnS_xSe_y$ : Cu where  $0.2 \le x \le 0.5$  and  $0 \le y \le 0.5$ .

Regarding claim 11, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 11, and specifically comprising the limitation of a phosphor composition of  $ZnS_xSe_y$ : Cu, A where  $0.001 \le x \le 0.5$ ,  $0 \le y \le 0.5$ , and A is an element selected from: Ag, Ce, Tb, Cl, I, Mg, and Mn.

Regarding claim 12, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 12, and specifically comprising the limitation

Art Unit: 2879

of a phosphor composition of  $ZnS_xSe_y$ : Cu, A where 0.001 $\le x \le 0.5$ , 0.5 $\le y \le 1$ , and A is an element selected from: Ag, Ce, Tb, Cl, I, Mg, and Mn.

Regarding claim 18, claim 18 is allowable for the reasons given in claim 11 because of its dependency status from claim 11.

Regarding claim 19, claim 19 is allowable for the reasons given in claim 12 because of its dependency status from claim 12.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2879

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mph M

MARICELI SANTIAGO PRIMARY EXAMINER